

### *Community Council: East Strathearn*

A total of 2 responses were received for East Strathearn Community Council. One of these refer to Madderty and one to St. David's.

#### *Place Standard Scores*

*Due to the limited number of responses, a Place Standard Wheel has not been produced for East Strathearn Community Council*

### Moving Around

Feedback on this topic highlighted concerns regarding vehicle speeding and limited infrastructure for people walking. This was raised as an important safety issue, particularly in areas where people walking and cycling have to share the carriageway with motor vehicles. Outside of built areas, the absence of suitable footpaths makes it difficult for people to travel by foot or bike.

### Public Transport

Comments received on this topic focus primarily on issues related to the cancellation of services serving the area. For both Madderty and St David's, feedback raised significant concerns regarding the lack of a suitable service, and the need for residents to travel by car.

Suggestions insist on the need to reinstate any form of public transport, including the possibility of using smaller vehicles if necessary.

### Traffic & Parking

Low number of comments received for traffic and parking, pointing at the need to drive caused by the lack of a suitable public transport option.

### Streets, Squares & Buildings

Low number of comments received for this topic, with appreciation for the overall good condition of the built environment and isolated comments on the poor repair carried out on some potholes.

### Natural Spaces

Low number of comments received regarding natural spaces, with an appreciation for their quality, but criticism on the lack of footpaths of verges suitable for walking on.

### Play & Recreation

Feedback received on this topic includes a positive opinion of the facilities available, but highlight a better offer in nearby locations such as Crieff. Responses mention that they often travel there for activities.

Madderty Hall was seen as being underutilised, with a suggestion to encourage more events to take place in the facility.

### Facilities & Services

Views on the local facilities and services differ, with some positive comments on the quality on offer, and negative feedback pointing at the need to travel out of the village to access services. Suggested improvements comment on the possibility to create a community cinema / theatre / cafe in Crieff.

### Work & Local Economy

Low number of comments on this topic point at a lack of options in the area, with a reliance on surrounding settlements such as Crieff. Suggested improvements include the provision of jobs and training to support the development of young people.

### Housing & Community

Low number of comments regarding housing and community, with positive views regarding the local community. Comments point at the aging local population and highlight the role of the village hall in supporting the local community.

### Social Interaction

No comments were received on this topic.

### Identity & Belonging

Low number of comments addressing identify and belonging, with contrasting views. Feedback recognising the welcoming nature of local neighbours, but some views point at an increasing sense of isolation.

Suggested improvements focus on the creation of opportunities to bring people together. Examples quoted were outreach programmes, social space for retired residents or a pop-up café.

### Feeling Safe

While the overall perception is that the area is safe, negative comments focus primarily on road safety concerns. Vehicle speeding through villages and the little regard for people walking or cycling were seen as significant issues. A suggestion to increase the provision of street lighting was also received.

### Care & Maintenance

No comments were received on this topic.

### Influence & Sense of Control

Low number of comments on this topic. Feedback received point at a feeling that rural areas are not prioritised.